

APNIC EC Meeting Minutes

Face-to-face Meeting, Vienna

Thursday, 3 November 2011

Meeting Start: 2:05 (UTC +0200)

Present

Akinori Maemura
James Spenceley
Che-Hoo Cheng
Kenny Huang
Gaurab Raj Upadhaya
Ma Yan
Wendy Zhao
Paul Wilson

Geoff Huston
Connie Chan
Richard Brown
Craig Ng

Agenda

1. Agenda Bashing
2. Review of Previous Minutes
3. Policy Endorsements
4. Strategic Planning Update
5. Preparation for joint meeting with the Board of the RIPE NCC
6. AOB

Minutes

The Chair of the Executive Council called the meeting to order at 2:05 (UTC+0200).

1. Agenda Bashing

There were no changes to the agenda.

2. Review of Minutes and Actions

The minutes of the meeting held on 20 October 2011 were approved.

Action ec-11-020: Secretariat to publish minutes of the 20 October 2011 meeting.

3. Policy Endorsements

The EC considered the APNIC 32 Policy Proposal Consensus Report (attached).

The EC unanimously passed a motion to endorse Policy Proposal prop-096.

The EC unanimously passed a motion that Policy Proposal prop-69 has been superseded by Policy Proposal prop-97, and therefore is abandoned

The chair of the EC undertook to note to the Chairs of the Policy Sig that consideration should be given to amending the Policy Development Process to consider the procedure to be followed when policies are to be abandoned.

4. Strategic Planning Update

The EC Strategic Planning retreat is scheduled for December 2012.

The EC noted that Secretariat's Operational Planning process for 2012 was underway, and a proposed 2012 budget would be ready for the December EC retreat.

The next APNIC Member and Stakeholder Survey was planned to be launched in March 2012 in order to allow for the results of the survey to be incorporated into the 2013 Operational Plan.

5. Preparation for joint meeting with the Board of the RIPE NCC

The EC considered a draft agenda for this meeting

6. AOB

The EC noted a reminder to review the schedule of fees for processing of resource transfers in the light of the adoption of policy proposal prop-096.

Next Scheduled Meeting

Thursday 24 November, 2011 (teleconference)

Meeting closed: 4:10 pm (UTC+0200)

Summary of Action Items

Action ec-11-020: Secretariat to publish minutes of the 20 October 2011 meeting.

APNIC 32 Consensus Report

Prepared 3 November 2011

Introduction and background

This document has been prepared to assist the APNIC Executive Council (EC) with the process of evaluating the endorsement of policy proposals that have reached consensus, before their implementation by the APNIC Secretariat.

The Policy Special Interest Group (SIG) Chairs have deemed that one policy proposal reached consensus at APNIC 32 in Busan, South Korea. This consensus was maintained during a final eight-week comment period:

- [prop-096](#): Maintaining demonstrated needs requirement in transfer policy after the final /8 phase

During APNIC 32 the Policy SIG also resolved to abandon the following failed global policy and to request the APNIC EC to retract its endorsement of the proposal.

- [prop-069](#): Global policy proposal for the allocation of IPv4 blocks to Regional Internet Registries

APNIC Policy Development Process

The APNIC Policy Development Process is a four-step procedure.

1. Discussion before the Meeting:

Proposals must be published on the Policy SIG mailing list at least four weeks before the Policy SIG Meeting.

2. Consensus at the Meeting:

Consensus must first be reached at the SIG session and then at the Member Meeting.

3. Discussion after the Meeting:

Proposals that reach consensus at the Conference will be circulated on the mailing list for a further eight-week comment period.

4. Confirming consensus:

Consensus is assumed to be upheld unless there are “substantial objections” raised during the comment period.

5. Endorsement from the EC:

The EC, in its capacity as the body representative of the membership, are formally requested by the SIG Chair to endorse the proposals that have reached and maintained consensus.

Explicit support is not required during the final call for comments period; consensus is assumed to prevail unless substantial objections are posted to the appropriate SIG mailing list.

For a full description of the APNIC Policy Development Process, please see:

<http://www.apnic.net/policy-development-process>

The following pages summarize the history of the two consensus decisions reached at APNIC 32.

prop-096: Maintaining demonstrated needs requirement in transfer policy after the final /8 phase

Proposal Synopsis	This is a proposal to maintain the requirement for recipients of IPv4 transfers to justify their need for address space beyond the current allocation phase and into the final /8 phase.
Current status	Consensus was announced following the end of final comment period; pending endorsement at APNIC EC meeting.
Author	Tomohiro Fujisaki
Co-authors	Masaru Akai, Fuminori Tanizaki, Toshio Tachibana, Akira Nakagawa
Relevant forum	Policy SIG
Status at other RIRs	<p>AfriNIC: An intra-region transfer policy is currently under discussion: AFPUB-2011-v4-001-draft-01</p> <p>ARIN: Intra-region transfer policy requires the recipient to demonstrate its need for the resource. ARIN-2011-1: ARIN Inter-RIR Transfers is currently in last call and if passed would require demonstrated need.</p> <p>LACNIC: Intra-region transfers are permitted. There is no policy for inter-RIR transfers; however, the community has begun to discuss this issue.</p> <p>RIPE: Has an intra-region transfer policy that requires demonstrated need and is beginning to discuss whether an inter-RIR transfer policy is required.</p>

Proposal history

- 25 Jan 2011** [Submitted](#) to the Policy SIG mailing list for discussion.
- 24 Feb 2011** Did not reach consensus at the [APNIC 31](#) Policy SIG. Was sent back to the Policy SIG mailing list for discussion.
- 4 Mar 2011** [Returned](#) to the Policy SIG mailing list for further discussion.
- 31 Aug 2011** Reached consensus at the [APNIC 32 Policy SIG meeting](#).
- 1 Sep 2011** Reached consensus at the [APNIC 32 Member Meeting](#).

6 Sep 2011 [Returned](#) to the Policy SIG mailing list for final eight-week comment period.

2 Nov 2011 APNIC Policy SIG Chairs formally [requests](#) EC endorsement.

Discussion statistics*

Total number of posts on mailing list in final comment period 1

Total number of people participating on mailing list discussion in final comment period 1

*

Excluding administrative process comments related to the proposal from the SIG Chair or Co-chairs.

prop-069: Global policy proposal for the allocation of IPv4 blocks to Regional Internet Registries

Proposal Synopsis	<p>This was a global policy proposal governing the allocation of reclaimed IPv4 address space from IANA to the Regional Internet Registries (RIRs) following the depletion of the IANA existing free pool of unallocated IPv4 address space.</p>
Current status	<p>Endorsed by the APNIC EC; pending adoption in the remaining four regions before it can become global policy. See the proposal history for 31 August 2011.</p> <p>Listed as GPP-IPv4-2009 by the ASO AC, this proposal was “abandoned” as it “cannot advance in its current state because the same version was not adopted at all five RIRs”.</p>
Authors	<p>This proposal was developed by a team representing each of the five RIRs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adiel A. Akplogan, AfriNIC• Raul Echeberria, LACNIC• Maemura Akinori, APNIC• Geoff Huston, APNIC• Axel Pawlik, RIPE NCC• Ray Plzak, ARIN• Oscar A. Robles-Garay, LACNIC• Nigel Tittley, RIPE NCC• Paul Wilson, APNIC
Relevant forum	<p>Policy SIG</p>
Previous versions	<p>prop-069-v002 prop-069-v001</p>
Status at other RIRs	<p>This proposal was submitted in all five RIR regions, and it reached consensus in all regions. However, in one region the proposal text was materially different from that in the other four regions.</p>

Proposal history

23 Jan 2009 Version 1 [submitted](#) to the Policy SIG mailing list for discussion.

Version 2 [submitted](#) to the Policy SIG mailing list for discussion.

3 Feb 2009

- Version 2 contains a new section, Definitions, inserted after Section 1, Introduction.

Consensus reached at APNIC 27 in Manila, Philippines. Suggestions to be incorporated in an updated version of the proposal going to the final call for comments included:

- 26 Feb 2009**
- Minimum allocation size from IANA back to the RIRs explicitly stated as a /24
 - Examples documenting how the procedure of distributing address space would take place
- 6 Mar 2009** Version 3, which incorporates the suggestions from the APNIC 27 Policy SIG, [submitted](#) to Policy SIG mailing list.
- 6 Mar 2009 - 1 May 2009** Version 3 in eight-week final call for comment period.
- [Beginning of comment period announcement](#)
 - [End of comment period announcement](#)
- 21 May 2009** Endorsed by APNIC EC.
- [Mailing list announcement](#)
- 22 Jun 2010** The ASO AC resolved that the proposal would not proceed as a Global Policy candidate and [removed it from its Agenda](#).
- 31 Aug 2011** The [APNIC 32 Policy SIG meeting](#) resolved that prop-069 was superseded by [Prop-097](#) and that Prop-069 should be abandoned.
- 1 Sep 2011** The [APNIC 32 Member Meeting](#) resolved that prop-069 was superseded by [Prop-097](#) and that it should be abandoned.
- 6 Sep 2011** Resolution to abandon prop-069 was [sent](#) to the Policy SIG mailing list for a final eight-week comment period.
- 1 Nov 2011** APNIC Policy SIG Chairs formally [requests](#) the EC retract its endorsement of the proposal.

Discussion statistics*

Total number of posts on the mailing list in final comment period 0

Total number of people participating in mailing list discussion during final comment period 0

* Excluding administrative process comments related to the proposal from the SIG Chair or Co-chairs.